# The Galaxy-Dark Matter Connection



#### Frank C. van den Bosch (MPIA)

in collaboration with

Xiaohu Yang (SHAO) Houjun Mo (UMass) Simone Weinmann (Zurich)

### **Introduction**



- How many galaxies, on average, per halo?
- How does  $\langle N 
  angle$  depend on M and L?
- What is  $\langle L 
  angle (M)$ ?
- How are galaxies distributed (spatially & kinematically) within halo?

The answers to these questions hold important information regarding

- Galaxy Formation (cooling/starformation/feedback)
- Large Scale Structure (galaxy bias)
- Cosmology (Halo mass function/CDM distribution)

The galaxy-dark matter connection can be studied

**Physically:** Ab initio galaxy formation models (SAMs) **Statistically:** The Conditional Luminosity Function (CLF)

### The Conditional Luminosity Function

The CLF  $\Phi(L|M)$  is the direct link between halo mass function n(M) and the galaxy luminosity function  $\Phi(L)$ :

 $\Phi(L) = \int_0^\infty \Phi(L|M) n(M) \,\mathrm{d}M$ 

The CLF contains a lot of important information, such as:

• halo occupation numbers as function of luminosity:

 $N_M(L>L_1)=\int_{L_1}^\infty \Phi(L|M)\,\mathrm{d}L$ 

The average relation between light and mass:

 $\langle L 
angle(M) = \int_0^\infty \Phi(L|M) \, L \, \mathrm{d}L$ 

• Galaxy clustering properties as function of luminosity:

 $egin{aligned} &\xi_{
m gg}(r|L) = b^2(L)\,\xi_{
m dm}(r) \ &b(L) = rac{1}{\Phi(L)}\int_0^\infty \Phi(L|M)\,b(M)\,n(M)\,{
m d}M \end{aligned}$ 

CLF is ideal statistical 'tool' to investigate Galaxy-Dark Matter Connection

## Luminosity & Correlation Functions



• 2dFGRS: More luminous galaxies are more strongly clustered.

•  $\Lambda$ CDM: More massive haloes are more strongly clustered.

More luminous galaxies reside in more massive haloes

REMINDER: Correlation length  $r_0$  defined by  $\xi(r_0) = 1$ 

### The Relation between Light & Mass



vdB, Yang, Mo & Norberg, 2005, MNRAS, 356, 1233

### Constraints on $\Omega_m$ and $\sigma_8$



vdB, Mo & Yang 2003, MNRAS, 345, 923

#### Large Scale Structure: The 2dFGRS



# Constructing Mock Surveys

- Run numerical simulations:  $\Lambda$ CDM concordance cosmology;  $L_{\rm box} = 100h^{-1} {
  m Mpc}$  and  $L_{\rm box} = 300h^{-1} {
  m Mpc}$  with  $512^3 {
  m CDM}$ particles each.
- Identify dark matter haloes (FOF algorithm, b = 0.2).
- Populate haloes with galaxies using CLF.
- Stack boxes to create virtual universe and mimick observations (magnitude limit, completeness, geometry)



#### Mock versus 2dFGRS: round 1



Yang, Mo, Jing, vdB & Chu, 2004, MNRAS, 350, 1153

#### Mock versus 2dFGRS: round 2



Yang, Mo, Jing, vdB & Chu, 2004, MNRAS, 350, 1153

## Satellite Kinematics in the 2dFGRS



- Mocks are used to optimize host-satellite selection criteria
- Using an iterative, adaptive selection criterion minimizes interlopers
- Application to 2dFGRS yields 12569 satellites & 8132 hosts
- Independent dynamical evidence to support CLF results

vdB, Norberg, Mo & Yang, 2004, MNRAS, 352, 1302 vdB, Yang, Mo & Norberg, 2005, MNRAS, 356, 1233

### Large-Scale Environment Dependence



Populate haloes in N-body simulations with galaxies using  $\Phi(L|M)$ Compute  $\Phi(L)$  as function of environment and type as in Croton et al. (2005) Because n(M) depends on environment, we reproduce observed trend

There is no environment dependence, only halo-mass dependence



- $\Phi(L|M)$  is a powerful statistical tool. It is strongly constrained by  $\Phi(L)$  and  $r_0(L)$  (Yang, Mo & vdB 2003)
- $\Phi(L|M)$  yields mass-to-light ratios  $\langle M/L \rangle(M)$  and galaxy bias as function of luminosity, type, etc (vdB, Yang & Mo 2003)
- Relation between mass and light inferred from  $\Phi(L|M)$  in excellent agreement with satellite kinematics (vdB, Norberg, Mo & Yang 2004)
- $\Phi(L|M)$  ideal to construct mock galaxy redshift surveys and to study large scale structure (Yang, Mo, Jing, vdB & Chu 2004)
- There is no environment dependence, only halo-mass dependence (Mo, Yang, vdB & Jing 2004)

The  $\Lambda$ CDM concordance cosmology predicts too many massive clusters, unless  $\langle M/L \rangle_{\rm cl} \simeq 1000 h \ (M/L)_{\odot}$  or  $\sigma_8 \simeq 0.75$ .