ASTR 610 Theory of Galaxy Formation

Summary Slides

FRANK VAN DEN BOSCH Yale University, Spring 2024



Lecture |

Introduction

Galaxy Formation in a Nutshell



ASTR 610:Theory of Galaxy Formation

Galaxy Formation in a Nutshell



ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation



Overview of Cosmology I (Riemannian Geometry & FRW metric)

Cosmology in a Nutshell

Lecture 2

Cosmological Principle

Universe is homogeneous & Isotropic

Riemannian Geometry

Friedmann-Robertson-Walker Metric
$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\tau) \left[d\tau^{2} - d\chi^{2} - f_{K}^{2}(\chi) \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \, d\phi^{2} \right) \right]$$

 $J_K(\chi)$ (db

Lecture 3

General Relativity

Einstein's Field Equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R - g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

Friedmann Equations

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{Kc^2}{a^2} + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}$$

ASTR 610:Theory of Galaxy Formation

Key words

Fundamental observer Proper time vs. conformal time Comoving vs. proper distance Angular diameter distance Luminosity distance Cosmological Principle FRW metric Hubble parameter redshift peculiar velocity

Physical laws can be made manifest invariant by writing them in tensor form.

- The geometry of space-time is described by the metric $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(x^{\alpha})$
- The FRW-metric is the most general metric consistent with the <u>cosmological principle</u>, that the Universe is homogeneous and isotropic (on large scales).

 Due to the expansion, the peculiar velocities of particles that do not experience an external force decay with time as $v_{\rm pec} \propto a^{-1}$

- Since energy densities of baryons & dark matter evolve in the same way, it is sufficient to describe the (non-relativistic) matter as one component.
- Since energy densities of radiation & relativistic matter (i.e., neutrinos) evolve in the same way, it is sufficient to describe them as one component.

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

Two ways of writing the FRW-metric

$$ds^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - a^{2}(t) \left[\frac{dr^{2}}{1 - Kr^{2}} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \, d\phi^{2}) \right]$$
$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\tau) \left[d\tau^{2} - d\chi^{2} - f_{K}^{2}(\chi) \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \, d\phi^{2} \right) \right]$$

Thermodynamics
$$\Rightarrow \frac{d\rho}{da} + 3(1+w)\frac{\rho}{a} = 0$$
 $\Rightarrow \rho \propto a^{-3(1+w)}$
non-relativistic matter (baryons & dark matter) $w = 0$
relativistic matter (radiation) $w = 1/3$
cosmological constant (dark energy) $w = -1$
Redshift, wavelength, scale-factor &
peculiar velocity $z = \frac{\lambda_{obs} - \lambda_{em}}{\lambda_{em}} = \frac{a(t_{obs})}{a(t_{em})} - 1$
 $v = \dot{a}\chi + a\dot{\chi} \equiv v_{exp} + v_{pec}$ $\Rightarrow 1 + z_{obs} = (1 + z_{cos})(1 + z_{pec})$
angular diameter distance
luminosity distance $d_A(z) = \frac{a_0 r}{1+z}$ $d_L(z) = a_0 r(1+z)$

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation



Overview of Cosmology II (General Relativity & Friedmann Eqs)

Cosmology in a Nutshell

Lecture 2

Cosmological Principle

Universe is homogeneous & Isotropic

Riemannian Geometry

Friedmann-Robertson-Walker Metric
$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\tau) \left[d\tau^{2} - d\chi^{2} - f_{K}^{2}(\chi) \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \, d\phi^{2} \right) \right]$$

 $J_K(\chi)$ (db

Lecture 3

General Relativity

Einstein's Field Equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R - g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

Friedmann Equations

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{Kc^2}{a^2} + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}$$

ASTR 610:Theory of Galaxy Formation

Key words		
Equivalence Principle	Riemann tensor	
Christoffel symbols	Ricci tensor	
covariant derivative	Einstein tensor	

Why we here a second stransformations, and moving mass has immediate effect all throughout space.
 a inertial systems do not exist (you can't shield yourself from gravity)
 but SR: inertial systems transform according to Lorentz transformations
 SR: universal speed limit; no information can propagate instantaneously

The Key to GR

- Since gravity is `permanent' (can only be transformed away locally), it must be related to an intrinsic property of space-time itself.
- Space-time of freely falling observer (no gravity) is flat Minkowski space; hence, gravity originates from curvature in space-time (Riemann space)

• Einstein Field equation is the manifest covariant version of Poisson equation



The Friedmann Equation

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{Kc^2}{a^2} + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}$$

$$H^2(z) = H_0^2 E^2(z)$$
 where
 $E(z) = \left[\Omega_{\Lambda,0} + (1 - \Omega_0) (1 + z)^2 + \Omega_{\mathrm{m},0} (1 + z)^3 + \Omega_{\mathrm{r},0} (1 + z)^4\right]^4$

Density Parameter

$$\Omega(z) - 1 = (\Omega_0 - 1) \, \frac{(1+z)^2}{E^2(z)}$$

ASTR 610:Theory of Galaxy Formation

© Frank van den Bosch, Yale University

 $^{\prime}2$

Newtonian Perturbation Theory I. Linearized Fluid Equations

Key words		
Euler equations	Hubble drag	
Equation of State	Perturbation analysis	
Ideal Gas	Isentropic perturbations	
Sound Speed	Isocurvature perturbations	

 Dark matter can be described as a collisionless fluid as long as the velocity dispersion of the particles is sufficiently small that particle diffusion can be neglected on the scale of interest. This is true on scales larger than the free-streaming scale.

In the linear regime, all modes evolve independently (there is no `mode-coupling')

• If evolution is adiabatic, isentropic perturbations remain isentropic. If not, the non-adiabatic processes create non-zero ∇S

 Isentropic and isocurvature perturbations are orthogonal; any perturbation can be written as a linear combination of both.

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

Newtonian Perturbation Theory II. Baryonic Perturbations

Key words

Jeans criterion Jeans length Horizons (particle vs. event)

Linear growth rate Silk damping Radiation drag

Perturbations below the Jeans mass do not grow, but cause acoustic oscillations.

At recombination photons decouple from baryons huge drop in the Jeans mass.

• Hubble drag resists perturbation growth $rac{a}{b}$ perturbations above the Jeans mass do not grow exponentially, but as a power-law: $\delta_{\vec{k}}(t) \propto t^a$ The index **a** depends on cosmology and EoS, as characterized by linear growth rate.

 Growth of super-horizon density-perturbations is governed by conservation of the associated perturbations in the metric.

 If matter is purely baryonic, at recombination Silk damping has erased all perturbations on relevant scales (M_d ~ 10¹⁵ M_o) structure formation proceeds in top-down fashion.

ASTR 610:Theory of Galaxy Formation

prior to recombination: relativistic photon-baryon fluid

$$c_{\rm s} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{3}{4} \frac{\rho_{\rm b}(t)}{\rho_{\rm r}(t)} + 1 \right]^{-1/2}$$

after recombination: baryon fluid is `ideal gas'

 $c_{\rm s} = (\partial P / \partial \rho)^{1/2} \propto T^{1/2}$

Jeans length & mass:

$$\lambda_{\rm J}^{\rm prop} = c_{\rm s} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{G\bar{\rho}}} \qquad \qquad M_{\rm J} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \bar{\rho} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\rm J}}{2}\right)^3 = \frac{\pi}{6} \bar{\rho} \,\lambda$$

 $\Phi_{ec{k}}$ is constant implies that $\delta_{ec{k}} \propto (ar{
ho} a^2)^{-1}$

comoving particle horizon:
$$\chi_{\rm H}(a) = \int_0^t \frac{c \, dt}{a} = \int_0^a \frac{c \, da}{a \, \dot{a}} \implies \lambda_{\rm H}^{\rm prop} = \frac{2 \, c \, t}{3 \, c \, t}$$
 radiation era

Poisson equation (Fourier space)

$$-k^2 \Phi_{\vec{k}} = 4\pi G a^2 \bar{\rho} \delta_{\vec{k}}$$

ASTR 610:Theory of Galaxy Formation

Newtonian Perturbation Theory III. Dark Matter

Key words		
Thermal vs. Non-thernal relics	Freeze-out	
Cold vs. Hot relics (CDM vs. HDM)	Meszaros effect	
Collisionless Boltzmann equation	Free-streaming damping	
Jeans equations	ISW effect	

- A collisionless fluid with isotropic and homogeneous velocity dispersion is described by the same continuity and momentum equations as a collisional fluid, but with the sound speed c_s replaced by $\sigma = \langle v_i^2 \rangle^{1/2}$
- A collisionless fluid does <u>not</u> have an EoS moment equations are not a closed set
- Collisionless dark matter and baryonic matter have the same linear growth rate.
- Collisional fluid: perturbations below Jeans mass undergo acoustic oscillations
 Collisionless fluid: perturbations below Jeans mass undergo free streaming
- After recombination, baryons fall in DM potential wells, thereby un-doing Silk damping.
- The integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect probes (linear) growth rate of structure. In an EdS cosmology $D(a) \propto a$ and the ISW effect is absent.

ASTR 610:Theory of Galaxy Formation

Collisionless Boltzmann Equation (CBE)
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_i} = 0$$

Moment equations: multiply all terms by v_i^k and integrate over all of velocity space

$$k = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Continuity equation} \qquad \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left[(1 + \delta) \langle v_{j} \rangle \right] = 0$$

$$k = 1 \Rightarrow \text{Jeans equations} \qquad \frac{\partial \langle v_{i} \rangle}{\partial t} + \frac{a}{a} \langle v_{i} \rangle + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j} \langle v_{j} \rangle \frac{\partial \langle v_{i} \rangle}{\partial x_{j}} = -\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{1}{\rho a (1 + \delta)} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial \rho \sigma_{ij}^{2}}{\partial x_{j}}$$
Free-streaming scale
$$\lambda_{\text{fs}}^{\text{com}} = \int_{0}^{t_{\text{eq}}} \frac{v(t')}{a(t')} dt'$$
Linear growth rate
$$\text{EdS cosmology} \Rightarrow D(a) \propto a$$

$$\text{ACDM cosmology} \Rightarrow D(a) \propto a^{\gamma} \quad (\gamma < 1)$$
Poisson equation in Fourier space:
$$-k^{2} \Phi_{\vec{k}} = 4\pi G \bar{\rho} a^{2} \delta_{\vec{k}}$$
In matter dominated Universe:
$$\bar{\rho} \propto a^{-3}$$

$$\Phi_{\vec{k}} \propto D(a)/a$$

ASTA OTO. INEULY

The Transfer Function & Cosmic Microwave Background

Key words

ergodic principle Gaussian random field two-point correlation function Harrison-Zeldovic spectrum

Power spectrum recombination vs. decoupling last scattering surface diffusion damping

- The power-spectrum is the Fourier Transform of the two-point correlation function
- A Gaussian random field is completely specified (in statistical sense) by the power-spectrum. The phases of all modes are independent and random.

- CMB dipole reflects our motion wrt last scattering surface (lss)
- Location of first peak in CMB power spectrum location curvature of Universe
- Ratio of first to second peak in CMB power spectrum baryon-to-dark matter ratio
- Finite thickness of lss causes diffusion damping of CMB perturbations

ASTR 610:Theory of Galaxy Formation

ergodic principle: ensemble average = spatial average

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

© Frank van den Bosch, Yale University

as Ω(a_m)≃1

CMB Summary



Hu & Dodelson 2002

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

CMB Summary



Non-linear Collapse & Virialization

Key words

Spherical/Ellipsoidal collapse Secondary Infall model Zel'dovich approximation critical overdensity shell crossing Mode coupling Violent relaxation Phase Mixing Virial Theorem Two-body relaxation

 In the non-linear regime (δ > 1) perturbation theory is no longer valid. Modes start to couple to each other, and one can no longer describe the evolution of the density field with a simple growth rate: in general, no analytic solutions exist...

 Because of this mode-coupling, the density field looses its Gaussian properties, i.e., in the non-linear regime, density field cannot remain Gaussian.

 Spherical Collapse (SC) model can be used to `identify' when and where collapsed objects will appear. Ellipsoidal Collapse model improves upon SC by accounting for the impact of tides, which typically are more important for less massive objects

 The Zel'dovich approximation is a Lagrangian treatment of the displacement field. It remains accurate in the quasi-linear regime, up to first shell crossing.

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

Key words

Spherical/Ellipsoidal collapse Secondary Infall model Zel'dovich approximation critical overdensity shell crossing Mode coupling Violent relaxation Phase Mixing Virial Theorem Two-body relaxation

There are four relaxation mechanisms for collisionless systems:

- phase mixing
- chaotic mixing
- violent relaxation
- Landau damping

 The only way in which a particle's energy can change in a collisionless system is by having a time-dependent potential.

Unlike collisional relaxation, violent relaxation does not cause mass segregation

 Violent relaxation operates on the free-fall time, only mixes at the course-grain level of the distribution function, and is self-limiting.

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation



Virial Theorem:
$$2K + W + \Sigma = 0$$
Violent Relaxation: $dE/dt = \partial \Phi/\partial t$ body relaxation time: $t_{relax} \simeq \frac{N}{10 \ln N} t_{cross}$

$\delta=\rho/\bar{\rho}-1$	turn-around	collapse
SC model	4.55	∞
linear model	1.062	1.686

Spherical Collapse model $1 + \delta = \frac{\rho}{\bar{\rho}} = \frac{9}{2} \frac{(\theta - \sin \theta)^2}{(1 - \cos \theta)^3}$ Linear theory $\delta_{\text{lin}} = \frac{3}{20} (6\pi)^{2/3} \left(\frac{t}{t_{\text{max}}}\right)^{2/3}$ Virialization:

 $r_{\rm vir} = r_{\rm ta}/2$ $1 + \Delta_{\rm vir} = 18\pi^2 \simeq 178 \sim 200$

Zel'dovich Approximation

$$\vec{x}(t) = \vec{x}_{i} - \frac{D(a)}{4\pi G\bar{\rho}_{i}} \vec{\nabla}\Phi_{i}$$

ASTR 610:Theory of Galaxy Formation

two-

Press-Schechter Theory

Key words		
(Extended) Press-Schechter	Mass Variance	
Excursion Set Formalism	Halo Mass Function	
Moving Collapse Barrier	Multiplicity Function	
Markovian random walk	Characteristic Halo Mass	

- Locations in linearly extrapolated density field where $\delta > \delta_c \simeq 1.686$ correspond to collapsed objects (halos)
- If $\delta(x)$ is Gaussian, then so is the smoothed density field $\delta(x;R)$
- Excursion sets are Markovian if, and only if, the density field is smoothed with a sharp-k space filter
- The cosmological parameter σ_8 is defined as the mass variance of the linearly extrapolated density field at z=0, smoothed with a Top-Hat filter of size R=8 h⁻¹Mpc
- The ellipsoidal collapse model gives rise to a moving barrier in excursion set formalism

Mass Smoothing	$\delta(\vec{x};R) \equiv \int \delta(\vec{x}') W(\vec{x}-\vec{x}';R) \mathrm{d}^3\vec{x}' \qquad \qquad \delta(\vec{k};R) = \delta(\vec{k}) \widetilde{W}(kR)$
Mass Variance	$\sigma^2(M) = \langle \delta^2(\vec{x}; R) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int P(k) \widetilde{W}^2(kR) k^2 \mathrm{d}k \qquad \qquad M = \gamma_\mathrm{f} \bar{\rho} R^3$
(E)PS ansatz	$ \begin{array}{ll} PS & F(>M,t) = 2\mathcal{P}[\delta_M > \delta_{\mathrm{c}}(t)] \\ EPS & F(>M,t) = 1 - F_{\mathrm{FU}}(>S) \end{array} \qquad S = \sigma^2(M) \end{array} $
Halo Mass Function	$n(M,t) \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}M} = \frac{1}{M} \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\ln M} = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{M} \frac{\partial F(>M,t)}{\partial M}$
EPS + Gaussian	$n(M,t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\bar{\rho}}{M^2} \frac{\delta_{\rm c}}{\sigma_M} \exp\left(-\frac{\delta_{\rm c}^2}{2\sigma_{\rm M}^2}\right) \left \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\sigma_M}{\mathrm{d}\ln M}\right = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{M^2} f_{\rm PS}(\nu) \left \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\nu}{\mathrm{d}\ln M}\right $
	shorthand $ u\equiv\delta_{ m c}(t)/\sigma(M)$ $f_{ m PS}(u)=\sqrt{2/\pi}\nu{ m e}^{- u^2/2}$
Ellipsoidal Collapse	$\delta_{\rm c}(t) \to \delta_{\rm c}(t) \left[1 + 0.47 \left(\frac{\sigma^2(M)}{\delta_{\rm c}^2(t)} \right)^{0.615} \right] \qquad $
Model	$f_{\rm PS}(\nu) \to f_{EC}(\nu) = 0.322 \left[1 + \frac{1}{(0.84\nu)^{0.6}} \right] f_{\rm PS}(0.84\nu)$

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

Merger Trees & Halo Bias

Key words

Merger Tree Progenitor Mass Function Mass Assembly History Halo Formation time Halo Bias Assembly Bias

 Construction of halo merger tree is subject to two conditions accurately samples progenitor mass function at all times (self-consistency) mass conservation (sum of progenitor masses = descendent mass)
 Different methods for constructing EPS merger trees differ in handling corresponding subtleties..

 Even in the limit of infinitesimally small time-step there is a non-zero probability of having more than two progenitors binary merger tree method fails

• Mass assembly histories of dark matter halos are universal, if scaled appropriately.

• More massive halos assemble later, and are more strongly clustered (i.e., $db_h/dM > 0$)

 Halos that assemble earlier are more strongly clustered than halos of the same mass that assemble later (= halo assembly bias)

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

Progenitor Mass Function:

$$n(M_1, t_1 | M_2, t_2) \, \mathrm{d}M_1 = \frac{M_2}{M_1} f_{\mathrm{FU}}(S_1, \delta_1 | S_2, \delta_2) \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}S_1}{\mathrm{d}M_1} \right| \, \mathrm{d}M_1$$

Halo Bias

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

Lecture ||

Structure of Dark Matter Halos

Key words		
NFW/Einasto profile	Halo Concentration Parameter	
Halo virial relations	Halo Spin Parameter	
Cusp-Core controversy	Linear Tidal Torque Theory	

- More massive haloes are less concentrated, are more aspherical, and have more substructure All these trends are mainly because more massive haloes assemble later
- Both concentration and spin parameter follow log-normal distributions
- The (median) spin parameter is independent of halo mass or redshift
- Dark matter halos have a universal density profile, a universal angular momentum profile, and a universal assembly history
- Subhalos reveal very little segregation by present-day mass, a weak segregation by accretion mass, and strong segregation by accretion redshift and retained mass fraction
- Dark matter haloes acquire angular momentum in the linear regime due to tidal torques from neighboring overdensities...

Halo Virial Relations

$$r_{\text{vir}} \simeq 163 h^{-1} \text{kpc} \left[\frac{M_{\text{vir}}}{10^{12} h^{-1} M_{\odot}} \right]^{1/3} \left[\frac{\Delta_{\text{vir}}}{200} \right]^{-1/3} \Omega_{\text{m},0}^{-1/3} \Omega_{\text{m},0}^{-1/3} \left(1 \pm z \right)^{1/2} \right]$$
Subhalo Mass Function

$$\frac{dn}{d \ln(m/M)} \propto \left(\frac{m}{M} \right)^{-\gamma} \exp\left[-(m/\beta M) \right]$$

$$\gamma \simeq 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad \beta \simeq 0.3$$
Halo
Density
Profiles
Halo
Density
Profiles

$$I_{\text{figure}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{s}}}{\left(\frac{r}{r_{\text{v}}} \right) \left(1 \pm \frac{r}{r_{\text{s}}} \right)^2} \qquad \text{concentration} \qquad c = r_{\text{vir}}/r_{\text{s}}$$
Einasto $\rho(r) = \rho_{-2} \exp\left[-\frac{2}{\alpha} \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{r_{-2}} \right)^{\alpha} - 1 \right\} \right] \implies \frac{d \ln \rho}{d \ln r} = -2 \left(\frac{r}{r_{-2}} \right)^{\alpha}$
Halo Spin Parameter

$$I_{\text{the ar TTT}} \qquad \qquad I_{\text{vir}} = \int_{r_{\text{grav}}}^{r_{\text{the ar}}} \int_{r_{\text{grav}}}^{r_{\text{grav}}} \int_{r_{\text{the ar}}}^{r_{\text{the ar}}} \int_{r_{\text{the ar}}}^{r_{\text{the ar}}} \lambda' = \frac{J}{\sqrt{2}M V R}$$

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

Large Scale Structure

Key words		
reduced/irreducible corr fnc	projected correlation function	
Poisson sampling	Redshift space distortions	
Wiener-Khinchin theorem	Kaiser effect	
Limber equation	Finger-of-God effect	

- The reduced (or irreducible) correlation functions express the part of the n-point correlation functions that cannot be obtained from lower-order correlation functions
- For a Gaussian random field, all connected moments (=reduced correlation functions) of n > 2 are equal to zero (i.e., $\zeta = \eta = 0$).

 \rightarrow One can use ζ and η to test whether the density field is Gaussian or not...

- If galaxy formation is a Poisson sampling of the density field, then all n-point correlation functions of the galaxy distribution are identical to those of the matter distribution
 This is not the case though; galaxies are biased tracers of the mass distribution
- On large (linear) scales, redshift space distortions (RSDs) depend on linear growth rate.
 On small (non-linear) scales, RSDs reveal FoG indicative of virial motion within halos
- Redder and more massive/luminous galaxies are more strongly clustered

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{n-point correlation function} \\ & \xi(r) \equiv \langle \delta_1 \ \delta_2 \ \dots \ \delta_n \rangle \\ & \text{n-point irreducible correlation function} \\ & \xi_{\text{red}}^{(n)} \equiv \langle \delta_1 \ \delta_2 \ \dots \ \delta_n \rangle_c \\ & \text{n-point irreducible correlation function} \\ & \xi_{\text{red}}^{(n)} \equiv \langle \delta_1 \ \delta_2 \ \dots \ \delta_n \rangle_c \\ & P(k) \equiv V \langle |\delta_k|^2 \rangle = P_{\text{sg}}(k) + \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{power spectrum} \\ & P(k) \equiv V \langle |\delta_k|^2 \rangle = P_{\text{sgg}}(k) + \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{power spectrum} \\ & \text{discrete} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{rojected correlation function} \\ & w_p(r_p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi(r_p, r_\pi) \ dr_\pi = 2 \int_{r_p}^{\infty} \xi(r) \frac{r \ dr}{(r^2 - r_p^2)^{1/2}} \\ & \xi(r) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{dw_p}{dr_p} \frac{dr_p}{(r_p^2 - r^2)^{1/2}} \\ & \text{edshift space distortions} \\ & P^{(s)}(\vec{k}) = \left[1 + \beta \ \mu_k^2\right]^2 P(k) \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{b} \frac{d \ln D}{d \ln a} = \frac{f(\Omega_m)}{b} \simeq \frac{\Omega_m^{0.6}}{b} \\ & 1 + \xi(r_p, r_\pi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [1 + \xi_{\text{lin}}(r_p, r_\pi)] \ f(v_{12}|r) \ dv_{12} \end{aligned}$$

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

© Frank van den Bosch, Yale University

The Halo Model & Halo Occupation Statistics

Key words		
Halo model	1-halo & 2-halo terms	
halo exclusion	Halo Occupation Distribution (HOD)	
galaxy-galaxy lensing	Conditional Luminosity Function (CLF)	

 The Halo model is an analytical model that describes dark matter density distribution in terms of its halo building blocks, under ansatz that all dark matter is partitioned over haloes.

 In combination with a halo occupation model (HOD or CLF), the halo model can be used to compute galaxy-galaxy correlation function and galaxy-matter cross-correlation function. The latter is related to the excess surface density measured with galaxy-galaxy lensing.

HOD is mainly used to model clustering of luminosity threshold samples.
 CLF can be used to model clustering of galaxies of any luminosity (bin).

It is common to assume that satellite galaxies obey Poisson statistics, such that <<u>N_s(N_s-1)|M> = <N_s>², and only the first moment of P(N_s|M) is required. This is not exact and may cause significant errors in the predicted clustering.
</u>

halo model

$$P(k) = P^{1h}(k) + P^{2h}(k)$$

$$P^{2h}(k) = P^{1h}(k) \left[\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}\int dM M b(M) n(M) \tilde{u}(k|M)\right]^{2}$$

$$P^{2h}(k) = P^{1h}(k) \left[\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}\int dM M b(M) n(M) \tilde{u}(k|M)\right]^{2}$$

Galaxy-Galaxy lensing: tangential shear, excess surface density and galaxy-matter cross correlation

 $\gamma_{\rm t}(R)\Sigma_{\rm crit} = \Delta\Sigma(R) = \bar{\Sigma}(< R) - \Sigma(R) \qquad \qquad \Sigma(R) = \bar{\rho} \int_0^{D_{\rm s}} [1 + \xi_{\rm g,dm}(r)] \,\mathrm{d}\chi$

CLF: the link between light and mass

$$\Phi(L) = \int_0^\infty \Phi(L|M) n(M) \, \mathrm{d}M \qquad \langle L \rangle_M = \int_0^\infty \Phi(L|M) L \, \mathrm{d}L \qquad \langle N_{\mathrm{x}} \rangle_M = \int_{L_1}^{L_2} \Phi_{\mathrm{x}}(L|M) \, \mathrm{d}L$$

Characteristic examples of CLF and HOD for both centrals and satellites

$$\Phi_{\rm c}(L|M)dL = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{\rm c}}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\ln(L/L_{\rm c})}{\sqrt{2\sigma_{\rm c}}}\right)^2\right] \frac{dL}{L} \qquad \langle N_{\rm c}\rangle_M = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\log M - \log M_{\min}}{\sigma_{\log M}}\right)\right]$$
$$\Phi_{\rm s}(L|M)dL = \frac{\phi_{\rm s}}{L_{\rm s}} \left(\frac{L}{L_{\rm s}}\right)^{\alpha_{\rm s}} \exp\left[-(L/L_{\rm s})^2\right] dL \qquad \langle N_{\rm s}\rangle_M = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{M}{M_1}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{if } M > M_{\rm cut}\\ 0 & \text{if } M < M_{\rm cut} \end{cases}$$

ASTR 610:Theory of Galaxy Formation

Galaxy Interactions

Key words		
Impulse & tidal approximations	dynamical friction	
distant encounter approximation	gravitational capture	
tidal shock heating	orbital decay	
tidal mass stripping	negative heat capacity	

- Gravitational encounter results in tidal distortion. If tidal distortion lags perturber, the resulting torque causes a transfer of orbital energy into internal energy of the objects involved.
- An impulsive encounter that results in an (internal) energy increase ΔE that is larger than the system's binding energy does not necessarily result in the system's disruption
- During re-virialization, following an impulsive encounter, the subject converts 2xΔE from kinetic into potential energy, resulting in the system `puffing' up.
- Dynamical friction is a global, rather than a local effect. Unlike hydrodynamical friction, the deceleration decreases with increasing velocity, at least at the high-velocity end.
- Dynamical friction is only important for subjects with a mass larger than a few percent of the host halo mass. For less massive subjects, t_{df} > t_H
- Dynamical friction does not generally result in orbital circularization.
 More eccentric orbits decay faster.

Impulse Approximation

$$\Delta E_{\rm S} = \frac{1}{2} \int |\Delta \vec{v}(\vec{r})|^2 \rho(r) \,\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{r} = \frac{4}{3} G^2 M_{\rm S} \left(\frac{M_{\rm P}}{v_{\rm P}}\right)^2 \frac{\langle r^2 \rangle}{b^4}$$

Tidal Radius

Point masses+ centrifugal force+ extended mass distributions
$$r_{\rm t} = \left(\frac{m}{2M}\right)^{1/3} R$$
 \checkmark $r_{\rm t} = \left(\frac{m/M}{3+m/M}\right)^{1/3} R$ \checkmark $r_{\rm t} \simeq \left[\frac{m(r_{\rm t})/M(R_0)}{2+\frac{\Omega^2 R_0^3}{GM(R_0)}-\frac{d\ln M}{d\ln R}|_{R_0}}\right]^{1/3} R_0$

Impulse Approximation

Chandrasekhar dynamical friction force

$$\vec{F}_{\rm df} = M_{\rm S} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{v}_{\rm S}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -4\pi \left(\frac{GM_{\rm S}}{v_{\rm S}}\right)^2 \, \ln\Lambda\,\rho(\langle v_{\rm S}) \, \frac{\vec{v}_{\rm S}}{v_{\rm S}}$$

dynamical friction time scale (isothermal sphere)

$$t_{\rm df} = \frac{1.17}{\ln\Lambda} \left(\frac{r_{\rm i}}{r_{\rm h}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{M_{\rm h}}{M_{\rm S}}\right) \frac{r_{\rm h}}{V_{\rm c}}$$

Coulomb logarithm

$$\ln \Lambda = \ln \left(\frac{b_{\max}}{b_{90}}\right) \approx \ln \left(\frac{M_{\rm h}}{M_{\rm s}}\right)$$

evolution of orbital eccentricity

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}e}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\eta}{v} \frac{\mathrm{d}e}{\mathrm{d}\eta} \left[1 - \left(\frac{v}{V_{\rm c}}\right)^2 \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Heating & Cooling

Key words		
Hydro-static Equilibrium	Overcooling Problem	
Accretion Shock	Cold mode vs. Hot mode	
Virial Temperature	Ionization equilibrium	
Cooling Function	Photo-ionization heating	

- Mass estimates based on the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium need to correct for non-thermal pressure sources (turbulence, magnetic fields, cosmic rays)
- Gas infalling in a halo through an accretion shock is heated to the virial temperature at which the gas is in hydrostatic, virial equilibrium with the halo potential.
- Low mass halos (M_h < 10¹² M_☉) are predicted to experience cold mode accretion (via streams), as they can't support an accretion shock.
- When ignoring photo-ionizations, it is typically assumed that the gas is in collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE) one uses CIE cooling functions
- In the presence of photo-ionization, the <u>net</u> heating/cooling rate, $(C H)/n_{\rm H}^2$, is a function of both temperature and density. This arises because of the competition between photo-ionization & recombination.

$\nabla P(r) = -\rho_{\rm gas} \nabla \Phi(r)$ **Hydrostatic Equilibrium Spherical Symmetry Ideal Gas** $\nabla P = \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}P}$ $\nabla \Phi = \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi}{\mathrm{d}r}$ GM(r) k_{B} $r = \overline{\mu m_{\rm p}} \, \mathrm{d}r$ $\cdot (\rho T)$ dr $k_{ m B} T(r) r$ $d \ln \rho_{\rm gas}$ $d \ln T$ $M(r) = M_{\rm gas}(r) + M_{\rm DM}(r) = \mu m_{ m p}\,G$ $d \ln r$ $d\ln r$

virial temperature

$$T_{\rm vir} = \frac{\mu m_{\rm p}}{2 \, k_{\rm B}} \, V_{\rm vir}^2 \simeq 3.6 \times 10^5 \, {\rm K} \, \left(\frac{V_{\rm vir}}{100 \, {\rm km/s}} \right)^2$$

Photo-ionization heating rate:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= n_{\mathrm{H}_{0}} \, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}_{0}} + n_{\mathrm{H}e_{0}} \, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}e_{0}} + n_{\mathrm{H}e^{+}} \, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}e^{+}} \\ \text{where} \quad \varepsilon_{i} &= \int_{\nu_{i}}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi \, J(\nu)}{h_{\mathrm{P}} \, \nu} \, \sigma_{i}(\nu) \left[h_{\mathrm{P}} \nu - h_{\mathrm{P}} \nu_{i} \right] \mathrm{d}\nu \end{aligned}$$

Cooling Time

$$t_{\rm cool} = \frac{3n \, k_{\rm B} T}{2 \, n_{\rm H}^2 \, \Lambda(T)}$$

Cooling Function

$$\Lambda \equiv \frac{\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{H}}{n_{\rm H}^2} = \Lambda(T, n_{\rm H})$$

in presence of heating



© Frank van den Bosch,Yale University

ASTR 610: Theory of Galaxy Formation

Star Formation

Summary

- At high gas densities ($\Sigma_{gas} > 10 M_{\odot} pc^{-2}$) conditions are such that self-shielding becomes efficient, and molecular gas forms.
- Various mechanisms trigger instabilities, creating GMCs supported by supersonic turbulence.
- Turbulent compression creates clumps and cores; the latter are Jeans unstable and collapse to form stars. Overall SFE per GMC is low $\varepsilon_{\rm SF,GMC} \sim 0.002$
- At low gas densities ($\Sigma_{gas} < 10 M_{\odot} \text{pc}^{-2}$) star formation is suppressed, due to inability for gas to self-shield (i.e., form molecules), and due to reduced self-gravity, which enhances stability.
- Mergers and tidal interactions cause efficient transport of angular momentum out (funneling gas in). This boosts efficiency of creating GMCs, so that galaxy enters starburst phase.
- Energy and momentum injection due to star formation process itself is likely to be important regulator of star formation efficiency in GMCs.

Supernova Feedback

Summary

- SN feedback is essential ingredient of galaxy formation. It helps explain why overall SF efficiency is low, and is invoked to explain why galaxy formation is less efficient in lower mass haloes...
- Unless SN go off in hot, low density medium, almost all SN energy is radiated away during radiative phase of blastwave.
- Efficient SN feedback requires three-phase ISM envisioned by McKee & Ostriker (1977), with most volume (mass) being in hot (cold) phase.
- Radiation pressure, stellar winds, and photo-ionization seem to be crucial ingredients for paving the way for efficient SN feedback.
- Numerical simulations that include wide spectrum of stellar feedback processes yields mass-loading efficiencies that scale similar to momentumdriven winds.
- They predict multi-phase winds; winds properties depend on SFR rate; radiation pressure becomes more important in systems with higher SFRs.