Astronomy 120

The Age & Fate of the Universe

Class 20 Prof J. Kenney November 14, 2016 Fate of UNIVERSE May Be



by JAY EARLE MILLER

This drawing illustrates how light energy, originating at sun's interior is gradually dissipated in the universe. End of world will come when all light energy has been exhausted.

Gravity dominates other known fundamental forces on largest scales







- Gravity dominates other known fundamental forces on largest scales
- GR is best theory of gravity



- Gravity dominates other known fundamental forces on largest scales
- GR is best theory of gravity
- GR describes gravity as "curved space-time", so space and time as well as gravity are natural parts of GR



- Gravity dominates other known fundamental forces on largest scales
- GR is best theory of gravity
- GR describes gravity as "curved spacetime", so space and time as well as gravity are natural parts of GR

-> GR may describe universe on largest scales of space and time



"boss"

Curved space of General Relativity



General Relativity (GR) and orbits



GR and bending of light





Formation of White Dwarf, Neutron Star, Black Hole in GR



Types of possible curvatures for universe in General Relativity



Positive

(spherical)



A *closed* universe curves "back on itself". Lines that were diverging apart come back together. Density > critical density. An *open* universe curves "away from itself". Diverging lines curve at increasing angles away from each other. Density < critical density.



Zero curvature (flat)

Negative

(saddle)

A *flat* universe has no curvature. Diverging lines remain at a constant angle with respect to each other. Density = critical density.

Relativity in Calvin & Hobbes



After Einstein completed his theory of gravity, GR, in 1915, he & others applied it to the grandest example of spacetime: *the universe as a whole.*

This was the birth of modern cosmology.

How Einstein applied his General Relativity to cosmology in 1915



 Assumes universe homogenous & isotropic (cosmological principle)...
 this seems OK!

Professional artist sketch of galaxy distribution on scale of 10 billion light years



How Einstein applied his General Relativity to cosmology in 1915



- Assumes universe homogenous & isotropic (cosmological principle)...
 this seems OK!
- Assumes universe static in time...

Why didn't Einstein's equations have a simple solution for a static universe?

- A. Static universe is not evolving
- B. Universe is infinite
- C. Time dilation not taken into account
- D. Gravity from mass makes things collapse
- E. Hubble constant not really constant
- F. Cosmological constant not really constant



How Einstein applied his General Relativity to cosmology in 1915



- Assumes universe homogenous & isotropic (cosmological principle)...
 this seems OK!
- Assumes universe static in time...
 whoops! His biggest blunder! (this was before expansion was discovered in 1929)

Why did Einstein think something had to balance gravity?

Why did Einstein think something had to balance gravity?

- A universe with only gravity would collapse, since the gravity would pull everything together
- SO! To have static universe there would have to be something to balance gravity. Einstein assumed there was some mysterious unknown repulsive force to do this.
- Einstein called it "the cosmological constant" (represented by symbol Λ), and assumed it perfectly balanced gravity to maintain a static universe

BUT! Then in 1929 *expansion was discovered* by Hubble, so Einstein realized the universe Was obviously NOT STATIC. So no need to invent something to balance gravity, since it is obviously not balanced!

> Hubble to Einstein: "See what I'm talking 'bout?"



BUT! Then in 1929 *expansion was discovered* and Einstein realized the universe was obviously NOT STATIC. So no need to invent something to balance gravity, since it is obviously not balanced!

Einstein says: "My biggest blunder!" "I guess there was no need for me to invent the cosmological constant. Can we just forget I ever mentioned it?"



"boss" or "boso"?

But then!



• In 1998 astronomers unexpectedly discover that the expansion is ACCELERATING!!



Evidence for acceleration in expansion of universe

Distant supernovae at a given distance have smaller redshifts than they would if expansion was constant or slowing down (decelerating)

This wins Nobel Prize in Physics in 2011 !!

But then!



- In 1998 astronomers unexpectedly discover that the expansion is ACCELERATING!!
- This can only happen if something is acting to make the universe expansion speed up. This thing acts sort of like Λ in Einstein's equations, i.e., acts opposite gravity but not equal strength so not balanced (so not the same as the "cosmological constant").
- We call the thing causing the expansion to accelerate DARK ENERGY (or Λ).

What is Fate of Universe?

Will universe expand forever?

(BIG FREEZE)

or....

Will expansion ultimately stop so that the universe contracts and collapses? (BIG HOT CRUNCH)

Expansion vs. time if only gravity (no dark energy)



What determines the Fate of the Universe?

- 1. the total mass of the universe
- 2. the average density of matter
- 3. the amount of dark energy
- 4. the average density of matter and dark energy
- 5. the ratio of dark matter to normal matter
- 6. what you make of your life after Yale



Expansion vs. time if only gravity (no dark energy)



Assume infinite Newtonian universe filled with galaxies. What is net gravitational effect on any galaxy in infinite universe?

LET'S ASSUME (PRETEND) THAT VELOCITY V OF ANY GALAXY AS SEEN BY OBSERVER AT DISTANCE R IS AFFECTED ONLY BY GRAVITY OF ALL MASS M INSIDE OF RADIUS R

In other words, assume gravitational force of everything outside of sphere cancels to zero. This is a highly dubious assumption but it happens to work.

Calculate total energy

If E<0 bound (no escape, will collapse) If E>0 unbound (escape, expand forever) If E=0 just barely bound/or just escape

E = KE + PE (and E is conserved) E = $\frac{1}{2}$ mv² – GMm/r

Where M = $\rho_m V = \rho_m x 4\pi r^3/3$ M = total mass in sphere ρ_m = mass density v = H_o r Hubble Law $E = \frac{1}{2} m(H_0 r)^2 - Gm/r \times (4\pi r^3/3) \rho_m$

Explore critical case of E=0 (just barely escape, just barely bound).

The density corresponding to this critical E=0 case is defined to the be critical density ρ_{c}

If ρ_{m} = ρ_{c} then E=0

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} m(H_0 r)^2 - Gm/r \times (4\pi r^3/3) \rho_c$$

 $0 = \frac{1}{2} H_0^2 - (4\pi G/3)\rho_c$

 $\rho_c = 3H_0^2 / 8\pi G$ CRITICAL DENSITY

the ratio of actual mass density to critical density is a convenient dimensionless number

$$\Omega_{\rm m} = \rho_{\rm m} / \rho_{\rm c} = 8\pi G \rho_{\rm m} / 3 H_0^2$$

 $\Omega_{\rm m}$ = cosmological mass density parameter (dimensionless)

if $\Omega_m > 1$ (or $\rho_m > \rho_c$) and $\Lambda=0$ universe is bound if $\Omega_m < 1$ (or $\rho_m < \rho_c$) and $\Lambda=0$ universe is unbound so what are ρ_{m} , ρ_{c} ?

 $\rho_c = 3 H_0^2 / 8\pi G$ $\rho_c = 1.0 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg m}^{-3} \text{ if } H_0 = 73 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$

this is equivalent to 6 atom m⁻³ not very much...! Air in room ρ_{air} = 5 x 10²⁵ atoms m⁻³

How does this compare this with true mass density?

How does this compare this with true mass density?

From measurements of clusters and superclusters [Q: how do we measure this?]

```
\rho_{m} = 2.4 x 10<sup>-27</sup> kg m<sup>-3</sup>
```

of this mass,

~15% is luminous mass (baryons: stars, gas, dust) ~85% is dark matter so what are ρ_m , ρ_c ?

 $\rho_c = 1.0 \text{ x } 10^{-26} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ if $H_0 = 73 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$

 $\rho_{\rm m}$ = 2.4 x 10⁻²⁷ kg m⁻³

 $\Omega_{\rm m} = \rho_{\rm m} / \rho_{\rm c} = 0.24 \pm 0.04$ (i.e. $\Omega_{\rm m} < 1$)

thus there is not enough mass to cause the universe to recollapse, since $\Omega_m < 1$

similar to saying that Big Bang threw stuff outwards with speed greater than escape speed

But what about Λ ? Fate depends on Λ as well as gravity ...

Hubble witnesses a cosmic tug of war

Hubble has detected the presence of dark energy in the young Universe. It appears that the cosmic "tug of war" between the pull of dark matter and the push of dark energy began at least 9 billion years ago, well before dark energy gained the upper hand and began accelerating the expansion of the Universe.

billion years age



Opposing forces: gravity (from matter) versus dark energy

But what about Λ ?

Fate depends on Λ as well as gravity ...

Dark Energy causes expansion to accelerate so there is definitely not enough mass for universe to contract (Λ makes things "worse")

With our present estimates:

Since $\Omega_m < 1$ and $\Lambda > 0 \rightarrow$ universe will expand forever





What major problem would arise if Hubble's constant turned out to be 100 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹

- 1. Some galaxies would be beyond the cosmic light horizon.
- 2. Some galaxies would have had to have traveled faster than our observations indicate.
- 3. The age of the universe would be less than the ages of some of the stars in it.
- 4. The age of the universe would be greater than the ages of some of the stars in it.
- Some galaxies would be traveling too fast for the universe to remain gravitationally bound.

suppose you are 60 miles from new haven and driving at 60 mph – when did you leave new haven?



t = d/v = 60 miles/60 mph = 1 hour

extrapolating expansion back in time



if expansion rate were constant, 1/H₀ would give age of universe

need to know how expansion rate has changed over time to know age of universe



mass in the universe causes expansion to decelerate

"dark energy" in the universe causes expansion to accelerate

relation between H₀ and Age of Universe

a.) if gravity and dark energy insignificant then H(t) is nearly constant and universe always expands at same rate in this case 1/Ho would give age of universe $T_0 = 1 / H_0$ for $H_0 = 73 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \qquad 1 / H_0 = 13.4 \text{ Byr}$

b.) gravity slows down expansion, causing deceleration in this case age $T_0 < H_0^{-1}$

c.) dark energy speeds up expansion, causing acceleration in this case age $T_0 > H_0^{-1}$

d.) both gravity and dark energy (actual case)

Age T_o could be more or less than H_0^{-1} depending of relative strengths of gravity and dark energy

our current best estimate: $T_0 = 13.7 \pm 0.2$ Byr

we get consistent value for ages of oldest stars: 13 Byr.

Observing Sessions: none tonight (Mon) or Tues some chance Wed or Thurs night